EPIMERIC 2-NORBORNYL CATIONS IN THE SOLVOLYSIS OF 6-exo- AND 6-endo-SUBSTITUTED 2-exo-NORBORNYL p-TOLUENESULFONATES

Cyril A. Grob, Bettina Günther, Reinhard Hanreich & Adrian Waldner, Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Basel, St. Johanns-Ring 19, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland.

Summary. Solvolysis of 6-exo- and 6-endo-substituted 2-exo-norbornyl tosylates 1 and 3, respectively, yields identical or different product mixtures depending on the inductive effect of the substituent, indicating that induction involves graded 6-participation.

As recently reported, 6-exo-substituents control the solvolysis rates of 2-exo- and 2-endo-norbornyl tosylates, 1 and 2, respectively, by their inductive effects, unless the substituents are electrofugal groups (d-electron donors) which give rise to elevated rates. In all cases, however, polar effects are transmitted more strongly in the 2-exo- series <u>l</u> than in the 2-endo- series $\frac{2}{\pi}$ $\frac{1}{\pi}$. Also, hydrolysis of $\underline{1}$ and $\underline{2}$ leads to a wider range of products than is often assumed 2 .

In continuation of these studies a series of 6-endo-substituted 2-exo-norbornyl tosylates 2, b - h, (TABLE) has been prepared in order to compare the effects of 6-endo substituents upon rates and products with those of the 6-exo substituents in 1, b – h. This comparison has provided a deeper insight into the nature of 2-norbornyl cations.

C(6)-epimeric pairs of <u>1</u> and <u>3</u>, b, c and d ³, reacted at similar rates in 80% ethanol, as shown by the ratios k $1/k$ 3 in the TABLE. Also, when reacted in 70% aqueous dioxane, each pair of epimers led to identical ratios of products 4 , which,

835

consequently, have a common origin. These products consisted mainly of tertiary exo-alcohols 4 and the olefins 5 and 6 (total $>$ 50%) beside the secondary 6-exo-2-exo-alcohols 7 and small amounts (ζ 2%) of the 6-endo epimers 8 5 . Therefore, extensive $C(1) \rightarrow C(2)$ carbon and $C(6) \rightarrow C(2)$ hydrogen shifts must occur in these reactions ⁶.

The epimeric pairs $\underline{1}$ and $\underline{3}$, e - h, also reacted at similar rates (TABLE) and again showed no clear directional effect of R⁷. In contrast to the above series, however, widely different amounts of rearranged and unrearranged alcohols 7 and 8 and of the olefins 9 and 10 were obtained from each pair of epimers. But none of these products resulted from a $C(6) \rightarrow C(2)$ hydride shift. Furthermore, the cyano derivatives $\underline{\ln}$ and $\underline{3h}$ yielded 44 % and 78 %, respectively, of the nortricyclene $\underline{11}.$ Consequently, the products of the C(6)-epimers 1 and $\frac{3}{4}$, e - h, do not have identical precursors, as do <u>l</u> and <u>3</u>, a – d. It is also noteworthy that the logarithms of the

FIGURE. Plot of log k for 6-endo-substituted 2-exo-norbornyl tosylates 3 against inductive substituent constants δ_{I}^{q} .

rate constants for $3a - 3h$ correlate linearly with the inductive substituent constants $\delta^{\c{q}}_{\c{T}}$ of R 8 (FIGURE), as was the case with <u>la</u> - <u>lh</u> 1 , leading to reaction constants **9** of -1.76 and -2.0, respectively. Rate control by the inductive effect of R is therefore indicated in both series.

If the relative rates of $\underline{1}$ and $\underline{3}$, a – h, reflect graded shifts of the R- $C(6)$ -C(1) electrons towards C(2) in the transition state 9 , they should also reflect varying degrees of bonding between C(6) and C(2) in the resulting epimeric ion pairs $\underline{12}$ and $\underline{13}$, as indicated by horizontal arrows. Such bonding is usually referred to as bridging or δ -participation 10 and symbolized by dotted lines, as in $\underline{14}$ and $\underline{15}$. The latter, however, are enantiomers and are unlikely to be symmetrically bridged if R is not hydrogen $^{11}.$ The fact that <u>l</u> and <u>3</u>, e – h, yield

different products proves that their intermediates are epimers 12 and 13 and not enantiomers. On the other hand, the formation of identical product mixtures from 1 and 3 , a – d, shows that the energy barrier separating 12 and 13 12 is so far lowered that their interconversion by a 1,2 carbon shift becomes faster than all subsequent reactions, i.e. $C(6) \rightarrow C(2)$ hydride shifts and reactions with solvent. The interconversion of the epimers $\underline{12}$ and $\underline{13}$ then likens a skeletal vibration, their chemical behaviour becoming indistinguishable from that of the enantio 14 and 15 .

REFERENCES

- 1) W. Fischer, C.A. Grob, G. von Sprecher & A. Waldner, Tetrahedron Letters 1979, 473, 1901, 1905.
- 2) W. Fischer, C.A. Grob, G. von Sprecher & A. Waldner, Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 928 (1980).
- 3) 1 and 3 are racemates, only one enantiomer being shown; la and 3a are identical.
- 4) Determined by capillary gas chromatography on a carbowax 20 M-coated column; yields reproducible to + 1.5% in at least two separate solvolyses.
- 5) The products from <u>1b</u> listed in 2) are in error.
- 6) C(6)+C(2) hydride shifts were first detected by J.D. Roberts, C.C. Lee & W.H. Saunders, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 76, 4501 (1954).
- 7) Similar rates were also observed for 5-exo- and 5-endo-cyano-2-exo-norbornyl brosylates by Y. Apeloig, D. Arad, D. Lenoir & P. von R. Schleyer (private communication).
- 8) C.A. Grob, B. Schaub & M.G. Schlageter, Helv. chim. acta 63, 57 (1980).
- 9) C.A. Grob & A. Waldner, Tetrahedron Letters 1980, 4433.
- 10) see "The Nonclassical Ion Problem" by H.C. Brown with comments by P. von R. Schleyer; Plenum Press, New York 1977.
- 11) Symmetrical and unsymmetrical bridging has been discussed recently by P. von R. Schleyer, D. Lenoir, P. Mison, G. Liang, G.K. Surya Prakasch & G.A. Olah, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 683 (1980).
- 12) Actually the enantiomer of formula 13.

(Received in Germany 12 December 1980)